



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was the position of the Examiner that with respect to Applicant's amendment dated January 14, 2005, claim 19 remained anticipated by Ehman et al. Ehman et al. shows a conductor pattern comprising signal lines 22 and connections to components 26/54. With respect to arguments regarding thin film, while Ehman et al. does not explicitly recite thin film (e.g. magnetic ink), it was the position of the Examiner that another reference, Saitou et al., teaches a screened paste being a thin film, analogous to an applied magnetic ink, obviating Applicant's arguments with respect to the thin film. It was agreed Ehman et al. did not teach or suggest ground in the circuit and it was agreed to positively include the ground part limitation in claim 19 placing the claim/s in condition for allowance. It was agreed add claim 40 and to make the changes by Examiner's amendment..